Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental								
LRB Number 03-3923/3	Introduction Number AB-880								
Subject Economic development program Website									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Reversity Create New Appropriations	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues to absorb within agency's budget enues Percentage Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget Tyes Decrease Costs								
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Counties Others School WTCS Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.143 (1) (bn)									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date								
COMM/ Landon Williams (608) 267-9382	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629 3/5/2004								

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 3/8/2004

LRB Number 03-3923/3	Introduction Number	AB-880	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject										
Economic development program Website										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the Department to create a Website that enables the public to access certain information concerning all economic development programs available in Wisconsin. The bill states that this Website must contain a database that is searchable based on certain criteria, and must contain information on federal, state and municipal awards. The bill also requires the Department to develop a Website template that is customizable by local chambers of commerce including the ability to provide links to local units of government and local economic development programs.

The bill appropriates \$250,000 GPR to the Department in FY 05 to develop this Website. The bill does not provide any additional positions to administer the Website. The Department estimates that it will be able to accomplish the goals of the bill within the funding prescribed. However, the Department does not anticipate the ability to accomplish the goals of this bill within its current positional authority. Based on the requirements of the bill, the Department anticipates an increased amount of data entry, as well as the need to interact closely with other state agencies, local chambers of commerce and local units of government to ensure compliance with this bill. The Department anticipates that the workload associated with this bill will necessitate an additional 2.0 FTE positions, a 1.0 FTE Program Assistant and a 1.0 FTE Economic Development Consultant. These costs are detailed below. The Department proposes deducting the costs for those two positions out of the allotment prescribed under the bill. The Department does not anticipate requesting additional funds for these costs.

Program Assistant 3 Salary: \$25,500 Fringe: \$10,000 One-time:\$ 3,500

Total: \$35,500

Economic Development Consultant

Salary: \$40,500 Fringe: \$15,900 One-time:\$ 3,500

Total: \$56,400

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	LRB Number 03-3923/3 Introduction Number AB-880									
	mic developn	nent program								
I. One- annua \$7,000	lized fiscal e	or Revenue l effect):	mpacts for	Sta	te and/or	Local Govern	iment (do	not include in		
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:				Annualized F	iscal Impa	act on funds from:		
						Increased Cost	ts	Decreased Costs		
	te Costs by									
		- Salaries an	d Fringes			\$91,90	0			
	Position Ch					(2.0 FTE	=)			
\vdash		- Other Costs	3			158,10	0			
\vdash	al Assistance									
		s or Organiza		_						
		Costs by Cat				\$250,00	0	\$		
		Source of Fu	ınds							
GPR						250,00	0			
FED										
	/PRS			_						
SEG	/SEG-S									
III. Stat	te Revenues ies (e.g., tax	- Complete increase, de	this only wl crease in li	hen cen	proposal se fee, et			ise state		
IODD						Increased Re	v	Decreased Rev		
 	Taxes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				\$	<u> </u>	\$		
	Earned									
FED	·									
	/PRS			_						
	/SEG-S			_						
ITC	OTAL State F					\$		\$		
		N	IET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISC	AL IMPACT	-			
0				_		State		<u>Local</u>		
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$250,000	0	\$		
NET CI	HANGE IN R	EVENUE				\$		\$		
Agency/Prepared By Aut			Aut	thorized Signature			Date			
COMM/ Landon Williams (608) 267-9382 Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629 3/5/							3/5/2004			